



© AGO e. V.
in der DGGG e.V.
sowie
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

FORSCHEN
LEHREN
HEILEN

Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients with early and advanced Breast Cancer

CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer



© AGO e. V.
in der DGGG e.V.
sowie
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

FORSCHEN
LEHREN
HEILEN

CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer

- **Versions 2003–2020:**

Bauerfeind / Bischoff / Diel / Ditsch / Fehm / Friedrich / Gerber / Huober /
Loibl / Lück / Maass / Müller / Nitz / Jackisch / Jonat / Junkermann /
Rody / Schütz / Solbach / Stickeler / Witzel

- **Version 2021:**

Rody / Huober

CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer

- **Breast cancer is the 2nd most common cause of CNS metastases**
- **At autopsy:**
 - Parenchymal CNS metastases: ~ 30–40%
 - Leptomeningeal CNS metastases: ~ 5–16%
- **Increasing incidence (10 % ⇔ 40 %)**
- **Increasing incidence due to**
 - More effective treatment of extra-cerebral sites with improved prognosis
 - Increasing use of MRI for diagnostic evaluation
- **Lack of specific knowledge about treatment of brain metastases in breast cancer since most studies are not breast cancer specific. Therefore, participation in the German registry study is recommended (www.gbg.de)**

1. Berman AT, Thukral AD, Hwang WT et al. Incidence and patterns of distant metastases for patients with early-stage breast cancer after breast conservation treatment. Clin Breast Cancer 2013, 13:88-94.
2. Brower, J. V., S. Saha, S. A. Rosenberg et al. (2016). "Management of leptomeningeal metastases: Prognostic factors and associated outcomes." J Clin Neurosci 27: 130-137.
3. Duchnowska R, Jassem J, Goswami CP et al.: Predicting early brain metastases based on clinicopathological factors and gene expression analysis in advanced her2-positive breast cancer patients. J Neurooncol 2015;122:205-216.
4. Duchnowska R, Sperinde J, Chenna A et al.: Quantitative her2 and p95her2 levels in primary breast cancers and matched brain metastases. Neuro Oncol 2015;17:1241-1249.
5. Fidler IJ: The biology of brain metastasis: Challenges for therapy. Cancer journal (Sudbury, Mass) 2015;21:284-293.
6. Gil-Gil MJ, Martinez-Garcia M, Sierra A et al: Breast cancer brain metastases: a review of the literature and a current multidisciplinary management guideline. Clin Transl Oncol 2013
7. Hyun, J. W., I. H. Jeong, A. Joung et al (2016). "Leptomeningeal metastasis: Clinical experience of 519 cases." Eur J Cancer 56: 107-114.
8. Kim, Y.J., J.S. Kim, and I.A. Kim, Molecular subtype predicts incidence and prognosis of brain metastasis from breast cancer in SEER database. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol, 2018. 144(9): p. 1803-1816.
9. Lin NU, Amiri-Kordestani L, Palmieri D et al.: CNS metastases in breast cancer: old challenge, new frontiers. Clin Cancer Res 2013,

19:6404-6418.

10. Le Rhun E, Taillibert S, Chamberlain MC: Neoplastic meningitis due to lung, breast, and melanoma metastases. *Cancer Control* 2017;24:22-32.
11. Mehta MP: Brain metastases: The changing landscape. *Oncology (Williston Park)* 2015;29:257-260.
12. Mustacchi G, Biganzoli L, Pronzato P et al.: Her2-positive metastatic breast cancer: A changing scenario. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2015;95:78-87.
13. Pahuja S, Puhalla S: Management of breast cancer brain metastases is moving forward, but new options are still needed. *Oncology (Williston Park)* 2014;28:585, 590-582.
14. Quigley MR, Fukui O, Chew B et al.: The shifting landscape of metastatic breast cancer to the CNS. *Neurosurgical review* 2013, 36:377-382.
15. Witzel I, Oliveira-Ferrer L, Pantel K et al.: Breast cancer brain metastases: biology and new clinical perspectives. *Breast Cancer Research*. 2016; 18(1):8.
16. Valiente, M. et al. The evolving landscape of brain metastasis. *Trends Cancer* 4, 176–196 (2018)

CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer

Tumour biology

- **Primary Tumor:**
 - Negative hormone receptor status (basal-like cell type / triple-negative)
 - High grade, high Ki-67 index
 - HER2 and/or EGFR (HER1) overexpression
 - Molecular subtype (Luminal B, HER2 positive, triple-negative)
- **Brain metastases are more likely estrogen receptor negative and overexpress HER2 and/or EGFR**
- **Discordance of molecular subtype between primary tumor and brain metastases: for ER= 16.7%, for PR = 25.2% and Her2 neu = 10.4%**
- **There is no evidence for BM-screening in asymptomatic BC-patients**

Risk factors (see also references slide CNS incidence)

1. Hess KR, Esteva FJ: Effect of HER2 status on distant recurrence in early stage breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013, 137:449-455.
2. Ishihara M, Mukai H, Nagai S et al.: Retrospective analysis of risk factors for central nervous system metastases in operable breast cancer: effects of biologic subtype and Ki67 overexpression on survival. Oncology 2013, 84:135-140
3. Nie F, Yang J, Wen S et al.: Involvement of epidermal growth factor receptor overexpression in the promotion of breast cancer brain metastasis. Cancer 2012, 118:5198-5209.
4. Pivot X, Manikhas A, Zurawski B et al.: Cerebel (egf111438): A phase III, randomized, open-label study of lapatinib plus capecitabine versus trastuzumab plus capecitabine in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:1564-1573.
5. Soni A, Ren Z, Hameed O et al.: Breast cancer subtypes predispose the site of distant metastases. Am J Clin Pathol 2015;143:471-478.
6. Shen Q, Sahin AA, Hess KR et al.: Breast cancer with brain metastases: Clinicopathologic features, survival, and paired biomarker analysis. Oncologist 2015;20:466-473.
7. Tomasevic ZI, Rakocevic Z, Tomasevic ZM et al.: Incidence of brain metastases in early stage HER2 3+ breast cancer patients; is there a role for brain CT in asymptomatic patients?, J BUON. 2012 Apr-Jun;17(2):249-53.

Brain metastases (BM) are more likely to be estrogen receptor negative, and overexpress HER2 or EGFR


1. Arvold, N. D., K. S. Oh, A. Niemierko et al. (2012). "Brain metastases after breast-conserving therapy and systemic therapy: incidence and characteristics by biologic subtype." *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 136(1): 153-160.
2. Bachmann C, Grischke EM, Staebler A et al: Receptor change-clinicopathologic analysis of matched pairs of primary and cerebral metastatic breast cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2013, 139:1909-1916.
3. Bachmann C, Grischke EM, Fehm T et al.: CNS metastases of breast cancer show discordant immunohistochemical phenotype compared to primary. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2013, 139:551-556.
4. Duchnowska R, Dziadziuszko R, Trojanowski T et al.: Conversion of epidermal growth factor receptor 2 and hormone receptor expression in breast cancer metastases to the brain. *Breast Cancer Res* 2012, 14:R119.
5. Han CH, Brastianos PK: Genetic characterization of brain metastases in the era of targeted therapy. *Frontiers in oncology* 2017;7:230.
6. Hohensee I, Lamszus K, Riethdorf S et al.: Frequent genetic alterations in EGFR- and HER2-driven pathways in breast cancer brain metastases. *Am J Pathol* 2013, 183:83-95.
7. Kaidar-Person O, Meattini I, Jain P et al.: Discrepancies between biomarkers of primary breast cancer and subsequent brain metastases: An international multicenter study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2017.
8. Timmer M, Werner JM, Rohn G et al.: Discordance and conversion rates of progesterone-, estrogen-, and her2/neu-receptor status in primary breast cancer and brain metastasis mainly triggered by hormone therapy. *Anticancer Res* 2017;37:4859-4865.

Molekulare Diskordanz Primärtumor – Metastase:

1. Hulsbergen AFC, Claes A, Kavouridis VK, et al. Subtype switching in breast cancer brain metastases: a multicenter analysis. *Neuro Oncol*. 2020 Jan 23. pii: noaa013. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa013. [Epub ahead of print]

There is no evidence for BM-screening in asymptomatic BC-patients

1. Niwinska A, Tacikowska M, Murawska M: The effect of early detection of occult brain metastases in HER2-positive breast cancer patients on survival and cause of death. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010, 77:1134-1139.



© AGO e. V.
in der DGGG e.V.
sowie
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

FORSCHEN
LEBEN
HEILEN

Diagnosis-specific Graded Prognostic Assessment (DS-GPA)

Worksheet to Estimate Survival from Brain Metastases (BM)

by Diagnosis

	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	Score
Prognostic Factor						
KPS	≤ 50	60	70-80	90-100	n/a	_____
Subtype	Basal	n/a	LumA	HER2	LumB	_____
Age, years	> 60	< 60	n/a	n/a	n/a	_____
Sum total						_____

Median survival by GPA:

DS-GPA 0-1.0 = 3.4 months

DS-GPA 1.5-2.0 = 7.7 months

DS-GPA 2.5-3.0 = 15.1 months

DS-GPA 3.5-4.0 = 25.3 months;

DS-GPA confirmed as prognostic factor

Subtype: Basal: triple negative; LumA: ER/PR positive, HER2 negative; LumB: triple positive; HER2: ER/PR negative, HER2 positive

Sperduto PW et al, JCO 2012; Nagtegaal SHJ et al, Radiother Oncol 2019


Breast-GPA

1. Sperduto PW, Kased N, Roberge D et al.: Summary report on the graded prognostic assessment: an accurate and facile diagnosis-specific tool to estimate survival for patients with brain metastases. J Clin Oncol 2012, 30:419-425.
2. Sperduto PW, Kased N, Roberge D et al.: Effect of tumor subtype on survival and the graded prognostic assessment for patients with breast cancer and brain metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012, 82:2111-2117
3. Sperduto PW, Shanley R, Luo X et al.: Secondary analysis of rtog 9508, a phase 3 randomized trial of whole-brain radiation therapy versus wbrt plus stereotactic radiosurgery in patients with 1-3 brain metastases; poststratified by the graded prognostic assessment (gpa). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;90:526-531.

Prognostic Factors for Survival

1. Castaneda CA, Flores R, Rojas KY et al.: Prognostic factors for patients with newly diagnosed brain metastasis from breast cancer. CNS Oncol 2015;4:137-145.
2. Huttenlocher S, Dziggel L, Hornung D et al.: A new prognostic instrument to predict the probability of developing new cerebral metastases after radiosurgery alone. Radiation oncology 2014;9:215.
3. Laakmann, E., K. Riecke, Y. Goy et al.: (2016). "Comparison of nine prognostic scores in patients with brain metastases of breast cancer receiving radiotherapy of the brain." J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 142(1): 325-332.

4. Rades D, Huttenlocher S, Hornung D et al.: Do patients with very few brain metastases from breast cancer benefit from whole-brain radiotherapy in addition to radiosurgery? *Radiation oncology* 2014;9:267.
5. Subbiah IM, Lei X, Weinberg JS et al.: Validation and development of a modified breast graded prognostic assessment as a tool for survival in patients with breast cancer and brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:2239-2245.
6. Xu Z, Schlesinger D, Toulmin S et al.: Impact of triple-negative phenotype on prognosis of patients with breast cancer brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012, 84:612-618.
7. Xu Z, Marko NF, Chao ST et al.: Relationship between HER2 status and prognosis in women with brain metastases from breast cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012, 82:e739-747.
8. Nagtegaal SHJ, Claes A, Suijkerbuijk KPM, et al.: Comparing survival predicted by the diagnosis-specific Graded Prognostic Assessment (DS-GPA) to actual survival in patients with 1-10 brain metastases treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. *Radiother Oncol*. 2019 Sep;138:173-179. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2019.06.033. Epub 2019 Jul 11.



© AGO e. V.
in der DGGG e.V.
sowie
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

FORSCHEN
LEBEN
HEILEN

WBRT-30-BC – zur Abschätzung des Risikos von Hirnmetastasen

- Based on 170 patients
- WBRT: whole brain radiotherapy alone
- (30 Gy in 30 sessions)

Characteristic	6-month OS rate (%)	Scoring points
Karnofsky performance score		
<70%	8	1
70%	32	3
>70%	72	7
Time between 1. diagnosis of breast cancer and WBRT		
≤33 months	29	3
≥34 months	38	4
Extra-cerebral metastatic disease		
No	53	5
Yes	28	3

Regarding the PPV to identify patients who will live 6 months or longer after WBRT, the WBRT-30-BC (100%) was superior to both DS-GPA (74%) and Rades-Score (68%).

Janssen S et al, Radiol Oncol, 2019

Prognostic group	OS at 6 months (%)
6-9 points	8
10-12 points	41
13-15 points	68
16 points	100

1. Janssen S, Hansen HC, Dziggel L, Schild SE, Rades D. A new instrument for predicting survival of patients with cerebral metastases from breast cancer developed in a homogeneously treated cohort. Radiol Oncol. 2019 May 8;53(2):219-224. doi: 10.2478/raon-2019-0020.

Single / Solitary Brain Metastasis

	Oxford		
	LoE	GR	AGO
Local therapy alone: SRS (≤ 4 cm) o. FSRT or resection	2b	B	++
Resection + irradiation of the tumor bed (without WBRT)	1b	B	++
WBRT + Boost (SRS, FSRT) or resection + WBRT	2a	B	+
WBRT alone	2b	B	+
Patients with reduced general condition and limited life expectancy			
Hippocampal-sparing	2b	B	+/-
■ WBRT in addition to SRS/FSRT or tumor resection improves local control and symptoms but has no survival benefit. WBRT impairs neurocognitive function.			
SRS = stereotactic radiosurgery (single session), FSRT = fractionated stereotactic RT, WBRT = whole brain radiotherapy,			

1. Brown A, Asher AL, Ballman K et al.: A phase III randomized trial of whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) in addition to radiosurgery (SRS) in patients with 1 to 3 brain metastases. JAMA. 2016 Jul 26;316(4):401-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.9839
2. Brown, P.D., et al., Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery compared with whole brain radiotherapy for resected metastatic brain disease (NCCTG N107C/CEC.3): a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol, 2017. 18(8): p. 1049-1060.
3. Cardoso F, Costa A, Senkus E et al.: 3rd eso-esmo international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (abc 3). Breast 2017;31:244-259.
4. Cho E, Rubinstein L, Stevenson P et al.: The use of stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases from breast cancer: Who benefits most? Breast Cancer Res Treat 2015;149:743-749.
5. Dye NB, Gondi V, Mehta MP: Strategies for preservation of memory function in patients with brain metastases. Chinese clinical oncology 2015;4:24.
6. Halasz, L. M., H. Uno, M. Hughes et al.: Comparative effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery versus whole-brain radiation therapy for patients with brain metastases from breast or non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer 2016 122(13): 2091-2100.
7. Kocher M, Soffietti R, Abacioglu U et al.: Adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation after radiosurgery or surgical resection of one to three cerebral metastases: results of the EORTC 22952-26001 study. J Clin Oncol 2011, 29:134-141.
8. Ling DC, Vargo JA, Wegner RE et al.: Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery to the resection cavity for large brain metastases: Clinical outcomes, predictors of intracranial failure, and implications for optimal patient selection. Neurosurgery 2015;76:150-156; discussion

156-157; quiz 157.

9. Liu Y, Alexander BM, Chen YH et al.: Salvage whole brain radiotherapy or stereotactic radiosurgery after initial stereotactic radiosurgery for 1-4 brain metastases. *J Neurooncol* 2015;124:429-437.
10. Miller, J. A., R. Kotecha and J. H. Suh: Comparative effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery versus whole-brain radiation therapy for patients with brain metastases from breast or non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2016; 122(20): 3243-3244
11. Mix, M., R. Elmarzouky, T. O'Connor et al.: Clinical outcomes in patients with brain metastases from breast cancer treated with single-session radiosurgery or whole brain radiotherapy. *J Neurosurg* 2016; 125(Suppl 1): 26-30
12. Rades D, Huttenlocher S, Rudat V et al.: Radiosurgery with 20 Gy provides better local control of 1-3 brain metastases from breast cancer than with lower doses. *Anticancer Res* 2015;35:333-336.
13. Soffietti R, Abacioglu U, Baumert B et al.: Diagnosis and treatment of brain metastases from solid tumors: Guidelines from the European Association of Neuro-Oncology (EANO). *Neuro Oncol* 2017;19:162-174.
14. Sun, B., et al., Incidence and relapse risk of intracranial metastases within the perihippocampal region in 314 patients with breast cancer. *Radiother Oncol*, 2016. 118(1): p. 181-6.
15. Tham IW, Lim KH, Koh WY et al.: Surgery or radiosurgery plus whole brain radiotherapy versus surgery or radiosurgery alone for brain metastases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014 Mar 1;3:CD009454. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009454.pub2.
16. Tsao M, Xu W, Sahgal A: A meta-analysis evaluating stereotactic radiosurgery, whole-brain radiotherapy, or both for patients presenting with a limited number of brain metastases. *Cancer* 2012, 118:2486-2493.
17. Yamamoto M, Kawabe T, Sato Y et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases: a case-matched study comparing treatment results for patients with 2–9 versus 10 or more tumors. *J Neurosurg* 2014. 121(Suppl):16–25
18. de Almeida Bastos DC, Maldaun MVC, Sawaya R, et al.: Histopathological subtypes and survival outcomes in breast cancer patients with brain metastases in the targeted therapy era. *Neuro-Oncology Practice* 5(3), 161–169, 2018


Oligo-Brain Metastases

	Oxford		
	LoE	GR	AGO
Local therapy alone: SRS (≤ 4 cm) or FSRT	2b	B	++
WBRT + Boost (SRS, FSRT)	2a	B	++
WBRT alone	2b	B	+
Patients with reduced general condition and limited life expectancy			
Hippocampal-sparing	2b	C	+/-
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximal number of metastases treated by SRS depends on localization, size, and additional, factors e.g. number of metastases, pre-treatment, Karnofsky.Index WBRT in addition to SRS/FSRT improves local control and symptoms, but has no survival benefit. Additional WBRT seems to impair neurocognitive function In case of limited number of brain metastases, SRS/FSRT are preferred 			
SRS = stereotactic radiosurgery (single session), FSRT = fractionated stereotactic RT; WBRT = whole brain radiotherapy,			

1. Brown A, Asher AL, Ballman K et al.: A phase III randomized trial of whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) in addition to radiosurgery (SRS) in patients with 1 to 3 brain metastases. JAMA. 2016 Jul 26;316(4):401-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.9839
2. Cardoso F, Costa A, Senkus E et al.: 3rd eso-esmo international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (abc 3). Breast 2017;31:244-259.
3. Cho E, Rubinstein L, Stevenson P et al.: The use of stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases from breast cancer: Who benefits most? Breast Cancer Res Treat 2015;149:743-749.
4. Dye NB, Gondi V, Mehta MP: Strategies for preservation of memory function in patients with brain metastases. Chinese clinical oncology 2015;4:24.
5. Halasz, L. M., H. Uno, M. Hughes et al.: Comparative effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery versus whole-brain radiation therapy for patients with brain metastases from breast or non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer 2016 122(13): 2091-2100.
6. Kocher M, Soffiatti R, Abacioglu U et al.: Adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation after radiosurgery or surgical resection of one to three cerebral metastases: results of the EORTC 22952-26001 study. J Clin Oncol 2011, 29:134-141.
7. Ling DC, Vargo JA, Wegner RE et al.: Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery to the resection cavity for large brain metastases: Clinical outcomes, predictors of intracranial failure, and implications for optimal patient selection. Neurosurgery 2015;76:150-156; discussion 156-157; quiz 157.
8. Liu Y, Alexander BM, Chen YH et al.: Salvage whole brain radiotherapy or stereotactic radiosurgery after initial stereotactic

radiosurgery for 1-4 brain metastases. J Neurooncol 2015;124:429-437.

9. Miller, J. A., R. Kotecha and J. H. Suh: Comparative effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery versus whole-brain radiation therapy for patients with brain metastases from breast or non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer 2016; 122(20): 3243-3244
10. Mix, M., R. Elmarzouky, T. O'Connor et al.: Clinical outcomes in patients with brain metastases from breast cancer treated with single-session radiosurgery or whole brain radiotherapy. J Neurosurg 2016; 125(Suppl 1): 26-30
11. Rades D, Huttenlocher S, Rudat V et al.: Radiosurgery with 20 Gy provides better local control of 1-3 brain metastases from breast cancer than with lower doses. Anticancer Res 2015;35:333-336.
12. Soffietti R, Abacioglu U, Baumert B et al.: Diagnosis and treatment of brain metastases from solid tumors: Guidelines from the European Association of Neuro-Oncology (EANO). Neuro Oncol 2017;19:162-174.
13. Tham IW, Lim KH, Koh WY et al.: Surgery or radiosurgery plus whole brain radiotherapy versus surgery or radiosurgery alone for brain metastases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014 Mar 1;3:CD009454. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009454.pub2.
14. Tsao M, Xu W, Sahgal A: A meta-analysis evaluating stereotactic radiosurgery, whole-brain radiotherapy, or both for patients presenting with a limited number of brain metastases. Cancer 2012, 118:2486-2493.
15. Yamamoto M, Kawabe T, Sato et al. (2014) Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases: a case-matched study comparing treatment results for patients with 2–9 versus 10 or more tumors. J Neurosurg 121(Suppl):16–25



© AGO e. V.
in der DGGG e.V.
sowie
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

FORSCHEN
LEBEN
HEILEN

NCCTG N0574 (Alliance): A Phase III Randomized Trial of Whole Brain Radiation Therapy (WBRT) in Addition to Radiosurgery (SRS) in Patients with 1 to 3 Brain Metastases

Study design:
Patients with 1-3 brain metastases, each < 3 cm by contrast MRI, were randomized to SRS alone or SRS + WBRT and underwent cognitive testing before and after treatment. The primary endpoint was cognitive progression (CP) defined as decline > 1 SD from baseline in any of the 6 cognitive tests at 3 months. Time to CP was estimated using cumulative incidence adjusting for survival as a competing risk.*

Conclusion:
Decline in cognitive function, specifically immediate recall, memory and verbal fluency, was more frequent with the addition of WBRT to SRS. Adjuvant WBRT did not improve OS despite better brain control. Initial treatment with SRS and close monitoring is recommended to better preserve cognitive function in patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases that are amenable to SRS.

* Remark: No hippocampus-sparing was applied

Brown A, Asher AL, Ballman K, Farace E, Cerhan J, Anderson K, et al. JAMA. 2016 Jul 26;316(4):401-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.9839

1. Brown A, Asher AL, Ballman K et al.: A phase III randomized trial of whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) in addition to radiosurgery (SRS) in patients with 1 to 3 brain metastases. JAMA. 2016 Jul 26;316(4):401-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.9839.


Adjuvant Whole-brain Radiotherapy Versus Observation After Radiosurgery or Surgical Resection of One to Three Cerebral Metastases: Results of the EORTC 22952- 26001 Study

2-year relapse rate after whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) versus observation after surgical resection or radiosurgery				
	after surgical resection (n=160)		after radiosurgery (n=199)	
	WBRT	observation	WBRT	observation
Local recurrence	27%	59% (p<0.001)	19%	31% (p=0.040)
New lesions	23%	42% (p=0.008)	33%	48% (p=0.023)

- Only 12% of the patients had brain metastases from breast cancer.
- Overall survival was similar in the WBRT and observation arms (median, 10.9 vs. 10.7 months, respectively; P = .89).
- Intracranial progression caused death in 44% patients in the OBS arm and in 28% patients in the WBRT arm.

Kocher M. J Clin Oncol 2011, 29:134-141

1. Kocher M, Soffietti R, Abacioglu U et al.: Adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation after radiosurgery or surgical resection of one to three cerebral metastases: results of the EORTC 22952-26001 study. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:134-41.



© AGO e. V.
in der DGGG e.V.
sowie
in der DKG e.V.
Guidelines Breast
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

Possible Factors for Decision Making Neurosurgery versus Stereotactic Radiosurgery

Factors in favor of neurosurgery:

- Histological verification e.g. after a long recurrence-free interval
- Need for immediate decompression, life-threatening symptoms
- Tumor size not allowing stereotactic radiotherapy

Factors in favor of primary radiotherapy*:

- Tumor location poorly amenable to surgery
- More than four lesions

* stereotactic radiotherapy should be preferred if possible

1. Cardoso F, Costa A, Senkus E et al.: 3rd eso-esmo international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (abc 3). Breast 2017;31:244-259.
2. Soffietti R, Abacioglu U, Baumert B et al.: Diagnosis and treatment of brain metastases from solid tumors: Guidelines from the european association of neuro-oncology (eano). Neuro Oncol 2017;19:162-174.

Multiple Brain Metastases if Stereotactic Radiotherapy is not indicated

- **WBRT (supportive steroids*)**
- **Hippocampal-sparing radiotherapy**
- **Corticosteroids alone***
- **Chemotherapy alone**
- **Radiochemotherapy for intracerebral control**
- **WBRT in case of recurrence****

* adapted to symptoms

** can be discussed depending on time-interval from first radiation, prior dose, and localization if local therapy (surgery, SRS, FSRT) is not indicated and / or possible

SRS = stereotactic radiosurgery

FSRT = fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy

WBRT = whole brain radiotherapy

Oxford		
LoE	GR	AGO
1a	A	++
2b	C	+/-
3a	B	+/-
3a	D	+/-
3b	C	-
4	C	+/-

1. Awad R, Fogarty G, Hong A et al.: Hippocampal avoidance with volumetric modulated arc therapy in melanoma brain metastases - the first Australian experience. Radiation oncology 2013;8:62.
2. Bachelot T, Romieu G, Campone M et al.: Lapatinib plus capecitabine in patients with previously untreated brain metastases from HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (LANDSCAPE): a single-group phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2013 Jan;14(1):64-71.
3. Caine C, Deshmukh S, Gondi V et al.: Cogstate computerized memory tests in patients with brain metastases: Secondary endpoint results of nrg oncology rtog 0933. J Neurooncol 2015.
4. Cao KI, Lebas N, Gerber S et al.: Phase ii randomized study of whole-brain radiation therapy with or without concurrent temozolomide for brain metastases from breast cancer. Ann Oncol 2015;26:89-94.
5. Geraud, A., H. P. Xu, P. Beuzeboc et al. "Preliminary experience of the concurrent use of radiosurgery and T-DM1 for brain metastases in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer." J Neurooncol. 2016
6. Gondi V, Pugh SL, Tome WA et al.: Preservation of memory with conformal avoidance of the hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment during whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases (rtog 0933): A phase II multi-institutional trial. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:3810-3816.
7. Krop IE, Lin NU, Blackwell K et al.: Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) versus lapatinib plus capecitabine in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer and central nervous system metastases: a retrospective, exploratory analysis in EMILIA. Ann Oncol. 2015; 26(1):113-9. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdu486.

8. Stokes TB, Niranjana A, Kano H et al.: White matter changes in breast cancer brain metastases patients who undergo radiosurgery alone compared to whole brain radiation therapy plus radiosurgery. J Neurooncol 2015;121:583-590.
9. Sutherland S et al. Treatment of HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer with lapatinib and capecitabine in the lapatinib expanded access programme, including efficacy in brain metastases-the UK experience. Br J Cancer 2010; 16: 102(6): 995 – 1002.

Radiochemotherapy

1. Ammirati M, Cobbs CS, Linskey ME et al.: The role of retreatment in the management of recurrent/progressive brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Neurooncol 2010, 96:85-96.
2. Lassman AB, Abrey LE, Shah GD et al.: Systemic high-dose intravenous methotrexate for central nervous system metastases. J Neurooncol 2006, 78:255-260.

Re-Bestrahlung bei Rezidiv

1. Huang, Z., B. Sun, G. Shen et al.: Brain metastasis reirradiation in patients with advanced breast cancer. J Radiat Res 2016. Oct 5. [Epub ahead of print] DOI 10.1093/jrr/rrw087
2. Minniti, G., C. Scaringi, S. Paolin et al.: Repeated stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with progressive brain metastases. J Neurooncol 2016; 126(1): 91-97.
3. Shen, C. J., M. Lim and L. R. Kleinberg (2016). "Controversies in the Therapy of Brain Metastases: Shifting Paradigms in an Era of Effective Systemic Therapy and Longer-Term Survivorship." Curr Treat Options Oncol 2016; 17(9): 46

Symptomatic Therapy of Brain Metastases

	Oxford		
	LoE	GR	AGO
■ Anticonvulsants only if symptoms of seizures	3a	C	+
■ Glucocorticoids only if symptoms and / or mass effect (Dexamethasone with best evidence)	3a	C	++
■ For patients with bad prognosis and reduced physical common conditions best supportive care is an option	5	D	+

Anticonvulsants

1. Lobos-Urbina D, Kittsteiner-Manubens L, Pena J: Is primary prevention with antiepileptic drugs effective in brain tumors or brain metastases? Medwave 2017;17:e6871.
2. Soffietti R, Abacioglu U, Baumert B et al.: Diagnosis and treatment of brain metastases from solid tumors: Guidelines from the european association of neuro-oncology (eano). Neuro Oncol 2017;19:162-174.

Steroids

1. Ryken TC, McDermott M, Robinson PD et al.: The role of steroids in the management of brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Neurooncol 2010, 96:103-114.
2. Soffietti R, Abacioglu U, Baumert B et al.: Diagnosis and treatment of brain metastases from solid tumors: Guidelines from the european association of neuro-oncology (eano). Neuro Oncol 2017;19:162-174.
3. Chang SM, Messersmith H, Ahluwalia M, et al: Anticonvulsant prophylaxis and steroid use in adults with metastatic brain tumors: summary of SNO and ASCO endorsement of the Congress of Neurological Surgeons guidelines. Neuro-Oncology 21(4), 424–427, 2019 | doi:10.1093/neuonc/noz034
4. Nahed BV, Alvarez-Breckenridge C, Brastianos RK et al. . Congress of neurological surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guidelines on the role of surgery in the management of adults with metastatic brain tumors. Neurosurgery. 2019;84(3):E152-E155.

5. Chen CC, Rennert RC, Olson JJ. Congress of neurological surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guidelines on the role of prophylactic anticonvulsants in the treatment of adults with metastatic brain tumors. *Neurosurgery*. 2019;84(3):E195-E197

Systemic Therapy of Brain Metastases

	Oxford		
	LoE	GR	AGO
■ Chemotherapy alone as primary treatment	3a	D	-
■ HER2 pos.			
■ Tucatinib + Trastuzumab + Capecitabine (after ≥ 2 anti-HER2-therapies)	2b	B	+
■ T-DM1	2b	B	+/-
■ Lapatinib + Capecitabine	2b	B	+/-
■ Neratinib + Capecitabine	2b	B	+/-
■ Neratinib + Paclitaxel	2b	B	+/-
■ Continuation of the current systemic therapy if first diagnosis of brain metastasis and stable extracranial disease	2c	C	+

1. Karam I, Hamilton S, Nichol A et al.: Population-based outcomes after brain radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases from breast cancer in the Pre-Trastuzumab and Trastuzumab eras. Radiation oncology 2013, 8:12.
2. Lin NU: Targeted therapies in brain metastases. Current treatment options in neurology 2014, 16:276.
3. Mehta AI, Brufsky AM, Sampson JH: Therapeutic approaches for HER2-positive brain metastases: circumventing the blood-brain barrier. Cancer Treat Rev 2013, 39:261-269.
4. Mounsey LA, Deal AM, Keith KC et al.: Changing natural history of her2-positive breast cancer metastatic to the brain in the era of new targeted therapies. Clin Breast Cancer 2017.
5. Pessina F, Navarria P, Cozzi L et al.: Outcome evaluation of her2 breast cancer patients with limited brain metastasis. Anticancer Res 2017;37:7057-7062.
6. Tarhan MO, Demir L, Somali I et al.: The clinicopathological evaluation of the breast cancer patients with brain metastases: predictors of survival. Clin Exp Metastasis 2013, 30:201-213.
7. Teplinsky E, Esteva FJ: Systemic therapy for her2-positive central nervous system disease: Where we are and where do we go from here? Curr Oncol Rep 2015;17:46.
8. Yuan P, Gao SL: Management of breast cancer brain metastases: Focus on human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer. Chronic diseases and translational medicine 2017;3:21-32.
9. Zhang Q, Chen J, Yu X et al.: Survival benefit of anti-her2 therapy after whole-brain radiotherapy in her2-positive breast cancer

- patients with brain metastasis. *Breast Cancer* 2016; Sep;23(5):732-9. doi: 10.1007/s12282-015-0631-x. Epub 2015 Aug 13
10. Bachelot T, Romieu G, Campone M et al.: Lapatinib plus capecitabine in patients with previously untreated brain metastases from HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (LANDSCAPE): a single-group phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013;14(1):64-71.
 11. Montemurro F, Delaloge S, Barrios CH et al., Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer and brain metastases: exploratory final analysis of cohort 1 from KAMILLA, a single-arm phase IIIb clinical trial, *Ann Oncol* 2020; 31:1350-1358
 12. Petrelli et al., The efficacy of lapatinib and capecitabine in HER-2 positive breast cancer with brain metastases: A systematic review and pooled analysis, *Eur J Cancer*, 2017;84:141-148
 13. Saura C, Oliveira M, Feng YH et al., Neratinib Plus Capecitabine Versus Lapatinib Plus Capecitabine in HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer Previously Treated With 2 HER2-Directed Regimens: Phase III NALA Trial, *J Clin Oncol.* 2020; 38(27):3138-3149
 14. Awada A, Colomer R, Inoue K et al., Neratinib Plus Paclitaxel vs Trastuzumab Plus Paclitaxel in Previously Untreated Metastatic ERBB2-Positive Breast Cancer: The NEfERT-T Randomized Clinical Trial, *JAMA Oncol.* 2016; 2(12):1557-1564
 15. Murthy RK, Loi S, Okines A et al., Tucatinib, Trastuzumab, and Capecitabine for HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer, *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382(7):597-609
 16. Bartsch R, Berghoff AS, Vogl U et al.: Activity of t-dm1 in her2-positive breast cancer brain metastases. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2015;32:729-737.
 17. Cortes, J., V. Dieras, J. Ro et al.: Afatinib alone or afatinib plus vinorelbine versus investigator's choice of treatment for HER2-positive breast cancer with progressive brain metastases after trastuzumab, lapatinib, or both (LUX-Breast 3): a randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; 16(16): 1700-1710.
 18. Fabi, A., et al., T-DM1 and brain metastases: Clinical outcome in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. *Breast*, 2018. 41: p. 137-143.
 19. Freedman RA, Gelman RS, Melisko ME et al: TBCRC 022: Phase II trial of neratinib + capecitabine for patients (Pts) with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2+) breast cancer brain metastases (BCBM). *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2017, 35(15_suppl):1005-1005.
 20. Geraud A, Xu HP, Beuzeboc P et al.: Preliminary experience of the concurrent use of radiosurgery and t-dm1 for brain metastases in her2-positive metastatic breast cancer. *J Neurooncol* 2017;131:69-72.
 21. Jacot, W., E. Pons, J. S. Frenel et al.: Efficacy and safety of trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer with brain metastases." *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2016; 157(2): 307-318.
 22. Niwinska, A. Brain metastases as site of first and isolated recurrence of breast cancer: the role of systemic therapy after local

treatment. Clin Exp Metastasis 2016; 33(7): 677-685

23. Okines A, Irfan T, Khabra K et al.: Development and responses of brain metastases during treatment with trastuzumab emtansine (t-dm1) for her2 positive advanced breast cancer: A single institution experience. *Breast J* 2017.
24. Perez, E. A., A. Awada, J. O'Shaughnessy et al.: Etirinecan pegol (NKTR-102) versus treatment of physician's choice in women with advanced breast cancer previously treated with an anthracycline, a taxane, and capecitabine (BEACON): a randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; 16(15): 1556-1568
25. Vici P, Pizzuti L, Michelotti A et al.: A retrospective multicentric observational study of trastuzumab emtansine in her2 positive metastatic breast cancer: A real-world experience. *Oncotarget* 2017.

CNS-efficacy of systemic anti-HER2 therapy				
Study	Study type	Therapy	Efficacy endpoint	
HER2CLIMB ¹ Lin ¹ et al. Murthy ² et al.	N=612 With brain met n=291 • Prospective, randomized (2:1) • Baseline brain MRI • BM (n=291) classified as active or stable	Tuca + T + Cap (n=198) vs. Plac + T + Cap (n=93) Inclusion: prior therapy with T-DM1, Per, T	Tucatinib vs Placebo: • Median CNS-PFS: 9.9 vs 4.2 mo (HR=0.32, 95% CI 0.22-0.48, p<0.001) • Median OS: 18.1 vs 12.0 mo (HR=0.58, 95% CI 0.40-0.85, p=0.005) • ORR-IC: 47.3 % [95% CI 33.7-61.2 %] vs 20.0 % [95% CI 5.7-43.7 %], p=0.03	
EMILIA ³ Krop et al.	N=991 with brain met n=95 • Retrospective, exploratory, not prespecified • Pre study screening (MRI, CT) • study enrollment possible if CNS-mets were asymptomatic	T-DM1 versus L + Cap Inclusion: PD after T, Pac No prior T-DM1, L, Cap	T-DM1 vs L + Cap: • Median PFS: 5.9 vs. 5.7 mo (HR=1.00; 95% CI 0.54-1.84, p=1.000) • Median time-to symptom-progression: 7.2 vs. 5.5 mo (HR=0.70, 95% CI 0.33-1.48, p=0.338) • Median OS: 26.8 vs. 12.9 mo (HR=0.38, 95% CI 0.18-0.80, p=0.008)	
KAMILLA ⁴ Montemurro et al.	N=2002 N=598 with baseline brain met • Phase IIb, single arm • Exploratory analysis	T-DM1 Inclusion: Locally advanced/mbc in pts with BM: Prior Anti-HER2-therapy (L60% T 99%, Per 6%), and cht 99%; prior RT BM 57% 1line up to > 5line	T-DM1 • N=126 with measurable BM at baseline • CNS-ORR 21.4 % (95% CI 14.6-29.6) • CBR 42.9 % (95% CI 34.1-52.0) • Median PFS w or w/o BM: 5.5 (95% CI 5.3-5.6) vs. 7.7 mo (95% CI 6.8-8.2) • Median OS w or w/o BM: 18.9 (95% CI 17.1-21.3 vs. 30.0 mo (95% CI 27.6-31.2)	
NALA ⁵ Saura et al.	N=621, With brain met n=101 • Prospective, randomized (1:1) • enrollment if CNS-mets were stable and asymptomatic • Sec. Endpoint: incidence of CNS intervention	N + Cap vs L + Cap Inclusion: ≥ 2 anti-HER2 therapies, 33% had prior Tra, Per, T-DM1	N+Cap vs L+Cap: Cumulative incidence of CNS intervention : 22.8 % (95% CI 15.5-30.9 %), 29.2 % (95% CI 22.5-36.1 %), p=0.043	
NEfERT-T ⁶ Awada et al.	N= 479 • Exploratory not preplanned subgroup analysis of randomized controlled trial	N+Pac (n=242) vs T + Pac (n=237) Inclusion: untreated metastatic or recurrent HER2+ BC, L/T as adjuvant/neoadjuvant therapy allowed	N+Pac vs T + Pac • Incidence of CNS recurrences: RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.29-0.79, p=0.002 • Time to CNS metastases: HR 0.45, 95% CI 0.26-0.78, p=0.004 • 2 years cumulative CNS incidence: N-Pac 10.1%; T-Pac 20.2%	
DESTINY-Breast01 ⁷ Modi et al.	N= 184 With brain met n=24 • Prospective, single arm, open label • study enrollment possible if CNS-mets were stable and asymptomatic (n=24)	Trastuzumab-Deruxtecan Inclusion: prior therapy with T-DM1, 56% had Per, 100% Tra, 54% other anti-HER2	• Trastuzumab-Deruxtecan: • Median CNS-PFS: 18.1 mo [95% CI 6.7-18.1] (all patients 16.4 mo, 95% CI 12.7-n.r.)	
Landscap ⁸ Bachelot et al.	N=45 Untreated brain metastases Single-arm, phase II	L + Cap	L+Cap Objective CNS response: 65.9 % (95% CI 50.1-79.5)	

(T=Trastuzumab, Tuca=Tucatinib, Plac = Placebo, Cap = Capecitabine, L= Lapatinib, N=Neratinib, Pac =Paclitaxel, Per =Pertuzumab, BM =brain metastases, cht =chemotherapy)

© AGO e. V.
in der DKG e. V.
sowie
in der DKG e. V.
Guidelines Breast
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

FORSCHEN
LEBEN
HEILEN

1. Lin NU, Borges V, Anders C et al., Intracranial Efficacy and Survival With Tucatinib Plus Trastuzumab and Capecitabine for Previously Treated HER2-Positive Breast Cancer With Brain Metastases in the HER2CLIMB Trial, J Clin Oncol 2020, 38:2610-2619.
2. Murthy RK, Loi S, Okines A et al., Tucatinib, Trastuzumab, and Capecitabine for HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer, N Engl J Med. 2020; 382:597-609
3. Krop IE, Lin NU, Blackwell K et al., Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) versus lapatinib plus capecitabine in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer and central nervous system metastases: a retrospective, exploratory analysis in EMILIA, Ann Oncol 2015, 26(1):113-119
4. Montemurro F, Delaloge S, Barrios CH et al., Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer and brain metastases: exploratory final analysis of cohort 1 from KAMILLA, a single-arm phase IIb clinical trial, Ann Oncol 2020; 31:1350-1358
5. Saura C, Oliveira M, Feng Y-H et al., Neratinib Plus Capecitabine Versus Lapatinib Plus Capecitabine in HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer Previously Treated With ≥ 2 HER2-Directed Regimens: Phase III NALA Trial, J Clin Oncol 2020, 38:3138-3149.
6. Awada A, Colomer R, Inoue O et al., Neratinib Plus Paclitaxel vs Trastuzumab Plus Paclitaxel in Previously Untreated Metastatic ERBB2-Positive Breast Cancer: The NEfERT-T Randomized Clinical Trial, JAMA Oncol. 2016;2:1557-1564
7. Modi S, Saura C, Yamashita T et al., Trastuzumab Deruxtecan in Previously Treated HER2-Positive Breast Cancer, N Engl J Med. 2020, 382: 610–621.

8. Bachelot T, Lapatinib plus capecitabine in patients with previously untreated brain metastases from HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (LANDSCAPE): a single-group phase 2 study, *Lancet Oncol* 2013; 14: 64–71

Leptomeningeal Carcinomatosis: Local Therapy

	Oxford		
	LoE	GR	AGO
Intrathecal or ventricular therapy			
▪ MTX 10–15 mg 2–3x/ week (+/- folinic acid rescue)	2b	B	+
▪ Liposomal cytarabine 50 mg, q 2w*	3b	C	+
▪ Thiothepa	3b	C	+/-
▪ Steroids	4	D	+/-
▪ Trastuzumab (HER2 pos. disease)	4	C	+/-
Systemic therapy	3b	B	+
Radiotherapy			
▪ Focal (bulky disease)	4	D	+
▪ WBRT	4	D	+
▪ Neuroaxis (disseminated spinal lesions)	4	D	+/-
Due to poor prognosis, consider best supportive care, especially in patients with poor performance status			
* Currently not available			

1. Brower, J. V., S. Saha, S. A. Rosenberg et al.: Management of leptomeningeal metastases: Prognostic factors and associated outcomes. J Clin Neurosci 2016; 27: 130-137.
2. Boogerd W, van den Bent MJ, Koehler PJ et al.: The relevance of intraventricular chemotherapy for leptomeningeal metastasis in breast cancer: A randomised study. Eur J Cancer 2004;40:2726-2733.
3. Cardoso F, Costa A, Senkus E et al.: 3rd eso-esmo international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (abc 3). Breast 2017;31:244-259.
4. Cole BF, Glantz MJ, Jaeckle KA et al.: Quality-of-life-adjusted survival comparison of sustained-release cytosine arabinoside versus intrathecal methotrexate for treatment of solid tumor neoplastic meningitis. Cancer 2003, 97:3053-3060.
5. Chamberlain M, Junck L, Brandsma D et al.: Leptomeningeal metastases: A rano proposal for response criteria. Neuro Oncol 2017;19:484-492.
6. Glantz MJ, Jaeckle KA, Chamberlain MC et al.: A randomized controlled trial comparing intrathecal sustained-release cytarabine (DepoCyt) to intrathecal methotrexate in patients with neoplastic meningitis from solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res 1999, 5:3394-3402.
7. Grossman SA, Finkelstein DM, Ruckdeschel JC et al.: Randomized prospective comparison of intraventricular methotrexate and thiotepa in patients with previously untreated neoplastic meningitis. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 1993, 11:561-569.
8. Jaeckle KA, Phuphanich S, Bent MJ et al.: Intrathecal treatment of neoplastic meningitis due to breast cancer with a slow-release

formulation of cytarabine. Br J Cancer 2001, 84:157-163.

9. Kak M, Nanda R, Ramsdale EE et al.: Treatment of leptomeningeal carcinomatosis: Current challenges and future opportunities. Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia 2015;22:632-637.
10. Kingston, B., et al., Treatment and prognosis of leptomeningeal disease secondary to metastatic breast cancer: A single-centre experience. Breast, 2017. 36: p. 54-59.
11. Le Rhun E, Weller M, Brandsma D et al.: Eano-esmo clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of patients with leptomeningeal metastasis from solid tumours. Ann Oncol 2017;28:iv84-iv99.
12. Le Rhun E, Ruda R, Devos P et al.: Diagnosis and treatment patterns for patients with leptomeningeal metastasis from solid tumors across europe. J Neurooncol 2017;133:419-427.
13. Le Rhun E, Taillibert S, Zairi F et al.: A retrospective case series of 103 consecutive patients with leptomeningeal metastasis and breast cancer. J Neurooncol 2013, 113:83-92.
14. Le Rhun E, Taillibert S, Devos P et al.: Salvage intracerebrospinal fluid thiotepa in breast cancer-related leptomeningeal metastases: a retrospective case series. Anticancer Drugs 2013, 24:1093-1097.
15. Morikawa, A., L. Jordan, R. Rozner et al.: Characteristics and Outcomes of Patients With Breast Cancer With Leptomeningeal Metastasis. Clin Breast Cancer 2016; Jul 25. pii: S1526-8209(16)30177-X. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2016.07.002. [Epub ahead of print]
16. Wang EC, Huang AJ, Huang KE et al.: Leptomeningeal failure in patients with breast cancer receiving stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases. Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia 2017.

Trastuzumab intrathecal

1. Lu NT, Raizer J, Gabor EP et al.: Intrathecal trastuzumab: Immunotherapy improves the prognosis of leptomeningeal metastases in her-2+ breast cancer patient. Journal for immunotherapy of cancer 2015;3:41.
2. Stemmler HJ, Schmitt M, Harbeck N et al.: Application of intrathecal trastuzumab (Herceptintrade mark) for treatment of meningeal carcinomatosis in HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer. Oncol Rep 2006, 15:1373-1377.
3. Zagouri F, Sergentanis TN, Bartsch R et al.: Intrathecal administration of trastuzumab for the treatment of meningeal carcinomatosis in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review and pooled analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013, 139:13-22

MTX high dose

1. Lassman AB, Abrey LE, Shah GD et al.: Systemic high-dose intravenous methotrexate for central nervous system metastases. J Neurooncol 2006, 78:255-260.