Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients with early and advanced Breast Cancer

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Prognostic and Predictive Factors

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Prognostic and Predictive Factors

- **Versions 2002–2019:**
  Costa / Fasching / Fersis / Friedrichs / Gerber / Göhring / Harbeck / Janni / Kolberg-Liedtke / Loibl / Lück / Mundhenke / Nitz / Rody / Schaller / Schmidt / Schmutzler / Schneeweiss / Simon / Solomayer / Thill / Thomssen / Witzel / Wöckel

- **Version 2020:**
  Kreipe / Thomssen

Definition

A **Prognostic Factors** is associated with the probability of the course of the disease (e.g. disease-free or progression-free survival, overall survival). The probability can be influenced by therapy.

A **Predictive Factor** is associated with the probability of the effect of a given therapy.

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

“Low absolute risk implies low absolute benefit”

Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG), Lancet 379: 432-444, 2012

Quality Criteria

- **Biological hypothesis**
- **Simple and standardized assessment method, quality assurance (QA) of the test**
- **Prospectively planned statistical evaluation (primary goal)**
- **Validation of clinical significance according to**
  - **„Oxford Level of Evidence (LoEOx2001)“ criteria and „Grades of Recommendation (GR)“**
  - **„Grades of Recommendation (GR)“ as well as modified LoE criteria for the use in archived specimen (LoE2009) and category of tumor marker study (CTS)**
- **Clinical relevance for treatment decisions**

1 Simon et al, J Natl Cancer Inst 101: 1446-1452, 2009
2 Febbo et al, J Natl Compr Canc Netw 9 Suppl 5: S1-32, 2011
3 McShane, Hayes, J Clin Oncol 30: 4223 – 4232, 2012

Early Breast Cancer (M0) – eBC
Prognostic Factors I

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Factor	Oxford LoE$_{Ox2001}$	GR	AGO
▪ Tumor size – pT	1a	A	++
▪ Axillary lymph node status – pN	1a	A	++
▪ Histological tumor type (mucinous, tubular etc.)	2b	B	++
▪ Grade (Elston & Ellis) – G	2a	B	++
▪ Age	2a	B	++
▪ Histologically proven peritumoral lymphatic vessel and vascular invasion (L1 V1)	1b	B	++
▪ pCR after NACT* in (luminal-B-like, HER2+, TN)	1a	A	++
▪ Increased risk of recurrence in invasive-lobular BC, cT3/4, N+	2a[a]	B	+/-
▪ Obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²)	1b	B	+
▪ Margins (resection status) – R0/R1	1a	A	+

* NACT = Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Early Breast Cancer (M0) - eBC
Prognostic Factors II

Factor	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	AGO
▪ ER / PgR	2a	B	++
▪ HER2 (IHC, ISH)	2b	B	++
▪ ER / PgR / HER2/ Ki-67 to assess the molecular type	2b	B	++
▪ uPA / PAI-1 (Femtelle® ELISA) in N0	1a	A	+
▪ Proliferation markers			
▪ Ki-67 before, during, or after treatment	1a	B	+

Reproducibility

- **ER/PR: concordance central vs local is high (97%; Plan B, SABCS 2014)**
- **Grading: concordance central vs local is 68% (PlanB, JCO 2016)**
- **HER2: frequency of false-positive test results 6% (ASCO /CAP JCO 2013)**
- **Impact of routine pathologic review in N0 BC: 20% changes : grading 40%, LVI 26%, N 15%, margin 12% (JCO 2012)**
- **pN0 from MIRROR study: pN0 was upstaged in 22%, in central pathology review (Ann Oncol 2012)**
- **Inter- and intraobserver variability in measurement of Ki-67 is high (J Nat. Cancer Institute 2011)**

Early Breast Cancer (M0) - eBC
Prognostic Factors III

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Factor	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	AGO
▪ Gene expression profiles (GEP, multigene assays, gene signatures)			
▪ MammaPrint® (N0-1)	1b	A	+*
▪ Oncotype DX® (N0-1, HR+ HER2-)	1b	A	+*
▪ EndoPredict® (N0-1, HR+, HER2 -)	2b	B	+*
▪ Prosigna® (N0-1, HR+, HER2 -)	2b	B	+*
▪ Breast Cancer Index^{SM} (N0-1, HR+ HER2-)	2b	B	+/-*
▪ CTS Clinical Treatment Score**	2b	B	+
▪ Disseminated tumor cells (DTC, in bone marrow)	1a	A	+/-
▪ Circulating tumor cells (CTC, in blood, Cell Search®) $^{\$}$	1b	A	+/-
▪ CTC before NACT (regarding OS, DDFS, LRFI)	1b	B	+/-
▪ Therapy decisions based on CTC phenotypes	3a	C	-
▪ Cell-free DNA (cfDNA, in blood, for DFS, PFS, OS)	2b[a]	B	+/-

* Should only be used in selected patients if all other criteria are inconclusive for therapeutic decision making

** estimation of late recurrence; $^{\$}$ Validated clinical data only available for this assay

Commercially Available Molecular Tests

	70 gene signature (MammaPrint®) $	21 gene Recurrence score (Oncotype DX®) $	8 gene signature (Endopredict®) $	PAM 50 (Prosigna®) $	Breast Cancer Index^{SM} (BCI) $
Provider	Agendia	Genomic Health	Sividon (Myrirads)	NanoString	Biotheranostics
Type of assay	70-gene assay	21-gene recurrence score	11-gene assay	50-gene assay	5 + 2 (MGI+H/I)
Type of tissue	fresh frozen (technical validation for FFPE available)	FFPE	FFPE	FFPE	FFPE
Technique	Microarrays for RNA	qRT-PCR	q-RT-PCR	Direct hybridization (nCounter®)	q-RT-PCR
Central lab	yes	yes	no	no	yes
Indication and population studied	prognostic N-/+, < 70 Jahre	prognostic N-/+, ER+ endocrine treated	prognostic (pre-) postmenopausal N-/+, ER+ HER2- endocrine treated	prognostic postmenopausal N-/+, ER+ HER2- endocrine treated	Prognostic pT1-3pNo – pN1 ER+ / HER2– Endocrine treated
Risk classes	Low - high	RS (Low – intermediate – high)	Low - high	ROR (Low – inter-mediate – high), molecular types	Low - high
Clinical Validation	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes
Registration	FDA clearance as "In Vitro Diagnostic Multivariate Index Assay (IVDMIA)« CE-Mark (fresh tissue and FFPE)	Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) + College of American Pathologists (CAP)-accredited ref lab	CE-Mark	CE-Mark FDA 510(k) Clearance	Service Mark (SM)

$ **Validated clinical data only available for this assay**

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Commercially Available Molecular Tests

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1E

	70 gene signature (MammaPrint®) $	21 gene Recurrence score (Oncotype DX®) $	8 gene signature (Endopredict®) $	PAM 50 (Prosigna®) $	Breast Cancer Index^{SM} (BCI)
Prognosis after 5 yrs (late recurrences)	not separately shown	yes	yes	yes	Yes
Predictive impact (chemotherapy benefit)	poorly validated	yes	not shown	not shown	EAT after 5 yrs
Prospective-retrospective evidence (% of recruited patients)	Multicenter validation	NSABP B-14 **(14%)** NSABP B-20 **(28%)** ECOG 9127 SWOG 8814 **(40%)** ATAC **(30%)**	ABCSG 6 **(19%)** ABCSG 8 **(36%)** GEICAM-9906 **(45%)** ATAC **(10%)**	MA.12 **(59%)** MA.5 **(66%)** ABCSG 8 **(44%)** ATAC **(16%)**	**Ma JCO 2006** **Jansen JCO 2007** **Jerevall BRCT 2008** **Bartlett AnnOnc 2019**
Prospective evidence	MINDACT (N0, N1) (5-year DFS, OS)	TAILORx (9-year DFS, OS), N0, low-risk, S<11, intermediate risk RS ≤ 25, high risk RS ≥ 26) PlanB (N0, highrisk/N+) (5-year DFS, OS)	–	–	-

$ **Validated clinical data only available for this assay**

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Prospective Randomized Trials

(Oncotype DX [TailorX, PlanB], MammaPrint [MINDACT])

Prognosis in the low-risk group is for both tests favorable
(94% 5-Jahres DFS with adjuvant endocrine therapy only)

	TailorX	PlanB	MINDACT
Follow-up period	Median 90 mo	5-yr-DFS	Median 60 mo
Proportion clinically low risk	6615 of9427 (70.2%, adj-onl)	Chemotherapy-Indication was inclusion criterion	3336 of 6693 (49.8%, adj-onl)
Proportion of clinically high, genomic low risk patients (clinically suitable for chemotherapy)	16.7% (RS 0-10)	15.3% RS (0–11)	23.2% (high clinical + low genomic risk)
Test failure rate	n.r.	2.9%	26% (fresh frozen tissue)
Proportion of intermediate risk patients (applies only to Oncotype DX)	69.1% (RS 11–25)	60.4% (RS 12–25)	n.a.
Proportion of high risk patients (applies only to Oncotype DX)	14.3% (RS ≥ 26)	24.3% (RS ≥ 26)	27.0% (high clinical + high genomic risk)
10-yr-follow up	---	---	---

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Adjuvant endocrine therapy
Predictive factors for DFS

Therapy	Factor	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	Oxford AGO
▪ Endocrine therapy	▪ ER/PgR status [%]	1a	A	++
	▪ IHC staining intensity (ER/PgR)	1a	A	-
▪ Extended endocrine therapy (EAT)	▪ Breast Cancer Index^{SM} (5y Let (MA.17) or 5y Tam (aTTOM), resp., after 5y Tam)	2b	B	+
▪ Tamoxifen	▪ CYP2D6-polymorphism	2b	B	-
▪ Ovarian ablation or suppression	▪ Menopausal status	1c	A	++
▪ Aromatase inhibitors vs. tamoxifen	▪ Menopausal status	1c	A	++
	▪ ER / PgR / HER2 as single factor	1c	A	-
	▪ Invasiv-lobular breast cancer	2b	B	+
	▪ Ki-67 high	2b	B	+/-
	▪ Obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²)	2b	B	+/-

Adjuvant Chemotherapy and Targeted Therapy Predictive Factors for DFS

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Therapy	Factor	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	AGO
▪ Adjuvant Chemotherapy	uPA / PAI-1 (ELISA, Femtelle®)	1a	A	+/-
	70-Gene-signature (Mammaprint®)	1b	A	+
	21-Gene-signature (Oncotype DX RS®)	1b	A	+
	EPclin (Endopredict®)	2b	B	+
	PAM-50 (Prosigna®)	2b	B	+
▪ Anti-HER2-Therapy	HER2 (IHC, ISH)	1a	A	++

Neoadjuvant Systemic Chemotherapy (NACT)
Predictive Factors for pCR I

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Factor	pCR* Probability	Oxford		AGO
		LoE	GR	
▪ Young age	↑	1a	A	+
▪ cT1 / cT2 tumors o. N0 o. G3	↑↑	1a	A	++
▪ Negative ER- and PgR-status	↑↑	1a	A	++
▪ Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC)	↑↑	1a	A	++
▪ Positive HER2-status	↑↑	1a	A	++
▪ Early response, clnically	↑	1b	A	+
▪ Invasive-lobular breast cancer	↓	1a	A	+
▪ Metaplastic breast cancer	↓↓	4	C	+

* High (↑) or very high (↑↑) probabililty of pCR, low (↓) or very low (↓↓) probabililty of pCR

Neoadjuvant Systemic Chemotherapy (NACT)
Predictive Factors for pCR II

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Factor	pCR* Probability	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	AGO
▪ Gene expression profiles (gene signatures) (Mammaprint®, Endopredict® Oncotype DX®, Prosigna®, Breast Cancer Index^{SM})	↑	2b	B	+/-
▪ Ki-67	↑	2b	B	+
▪ Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes**	↑	2a	B	+
▪ *PIK3CA* mutation (for HER2-positive BC)	↑	2a	B	+/-
▪ gBRCA-mutation (for the effect of chemotherapy)	↑	2b	B	+
▪ gBRCA-mutation (for the effect of platinum)	↔	2b	B	+/-

* High (↑) or very high (↑↑) probabililty of pCR, low (↓) or very low (↓↓) probabililty of pCR

** Defined as dense lymphocytic infiltration of inner peritumoral stroma outside of the invasion front (lymphocytes make up >50% of stroma area)

Metastatic Breast Cancer (mBC)
Prognostic Factors

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Factor	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	AGO
▪ Circulating tumor cells (CTC in blood, Cell Search®)			
▪ Prognosis	1a	A	+
▪ Early response assessment (3w)	1b	B	+
▪ Therapy decision solely based on dynamics of CTC numbers over time or CTC phenotype	1b	A	-*
▪ Cell-free DNA (cfDNA in blood)	2a	A	+/-

* Study participation recommended

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Treatment of Metastatic Breast Cancer
Predictive Factors for response

Therapy	Factor	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	AGO
▪ Endocrine therapy	ER / PR (prim. tumor, better: metastasis)	1a	A	++
	Response to prior therapy	2b	B	++
	Autocrine receptor mutation (ESR1)	2b	B	+
▪ Chemotherapy	Response to prior therapy	1b	A	++
▪ Anti-HER2-therapy	HER2 (prim. tumor, better: metastasis)	1a	A	++
▪ Checkpoint-Inhibitors (Atezolizumab)	PD-L1 IC positivity# in TNBC (primary tumor or metastasis)	1b	B	+
▪ PARP-Inhibitors	gBRCA1/2-mutation	1a	A	++
▪ Bone modifying drugs	Bone metastasis	1a	A	++
▪ Any therapy	CTC monitoring	1b	A	+*

* In clinical trials

\# ≥ % on immune cells (IC) using SP142 (see chapter „pathology“)

Mutation diagnostics in mBC: „Precision medicine“ for targeted therapies

Altered genes	Therapeutic relevance	Gene region	Material	Oxford LOE	Oxford GR	AGO
BRCA1, BRCA2	PARP Inhibitors	All exons	Germline: Blood cells Somatic: Tissue	1b 2b	A B	++ +/-
PIK3CA	Alpelisib	Exons 7,9 and 20	Primary tumor, metastases, plasma	1b	A	+
HER2-mutation (independent of HER2-status)	Neratinib, lapatinib	Kinase- and extracellular domains; S310, L755, V777, Y772_A775dup	Primary tumor, metastases, plasma	4	C	+/-
ESR1	Resistance against AI	Exons 4,7 und 8	Metastases, plasma	2b	B	+/-
NTRK gene fusion	Larotrectinib, entrectinib	Fusion- and splice variants	Tumor tissue, espec. secretory breast cancer	2a	B	+
MSI	Pembrolizumab	Microsatellite-instability	Tissue	2a	B	+

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Therapy-relevant mutational analysis for „actionable“ genomic alterations in BC

Guidelines Breast
Version 2020.1

Factor*	Outcome	Oxford LoE	Oxford GR	Oxford AGO
Evidence from studies with other cancer patients („tumor-agnostic testing“)				
▪ Companion Diagnostics for therapies of other tumor entities (z.B. BRAF, FGFR1, …)	Efficacy of diverse therapies	4	D	+/-**
▪ Large Panel Gene Analysis (e.g. FoundationOne, GPS Cancer, NeoSelect, Molecular Health Guide, local „hand-selected„ panels)	Efficacy of diverse therapies, prognosis	3a	C	+/-**

* Assessment method for somatic mutations (tumor tissue, cf-DNA) is not taken into consideration for LOE

** Participation in clinical trials or structured registries recommended